Delayed Cord Clamping: A review of its introduction within a medium-sized UK consultant-

led maternity unit.

George Brooks, Shonag MacKenzie, Michelle Salem Wansbeck General Hospital, Northumberland NE63 9JJ contact: george.brooks@northumbria-healthcare.nhs.uk

Background

This tentative review was undertaken to identify issues which could be examined in further studies to determine the impact of introducing "Delayed Cord Clamping for all" within an obstetric service providing low/high risk care.

Delayed Cord Clamping (DCC) was introduced within our maternity unit in 2009. DCC is recommended for up to 3 minutes within our low risk intrapartum care guidelines. A minimum of 1-2 minutes of DCC is advised for infants born by instrumental delivery/LSCS regardless of indication for delivery. This audit was planned to determine if this was being put into practice.

The resident neonatal staff, a team of Advanced Neonatal Nurse Practitioners (ANNP), work with the midwifery/obstetric teams to ensure infants benefit from DCC. Practice changes such as sitting alongside the obstetrician at instrumental deliveries were introduced.

Methods

A review of the most recent cohort of deliveries was undertaken. This looked at:

Was DCC practised?

How many babies required resuscitative measures?

What proportion of infants born at term were admitted to the Special Care Baby Unit (SCBU) for respiratory care?

These data were reviewed in light of what is known about resuscitation practice before the introduction of DCC.

Northumbria Healthcare **NHS Foundation Trust**



Results

- 1973 births were reviewed (born late 2012-13)
- 1904 (96.5%) received at least 1 minute DCC
- 1456 (73.8%) received 2-4 minutes DCC
- 141 (7.14%) infants had an Apgar score <5 at 1minute
- 41 (2.07%) babies had Apgar score <7 at 5 minutes
- 81 infants were transferred to the resuscitaire for intervention (4.08%). Newborn resuscitaire measures in this instance were crudely identified as any action requiring transfer to a delivery room resuscitaire within the first 5 minutes of life.
- 50 (2.55%) were admitted to SCBU for respiratory care following delivery.
- Few (3.5%) of babies did not benefit from DCC
- Resuscitation incidence prior to introducing DCC was 15% (333/2470 births in 2006). At this time 4.5% of term infants were admitted to SCBU for respiratory problems.

Discussion

1. The introduction of DCC has resulted in a significant reduction in the number of babies born within our consultant-led unit receiving resuscitative measures at birth. Ashington now very much supports the practice of "assisted transition" from placental to pulmonary respiration. The act of delaying the separation of the infant from mother in itself appears to prevent infants *receiving* resuscitation that they don't *require*.

2. The only change in practice over the time period examined was DCC. In line with Kroll et al (1994) who demonstrated a dramatic reduction in resuscitation we also reduced the indications for ANNP attendance at birth over this time. However having an experienced midwife or ANNP attending births acting as an advocate for the baby (and DCC!) by holding their nerve in delaying the cord clamping of some compromised infants has also contributed to the decrease in intervention.

3. DCC is contraindicated if placental abruption was suspected or if an anteriorly positioned placenta was incised at caesarean section. Other reasons were cited such as cord snapping, PPH and a small number were attributable to non-compliance with the guideline.

4. DCC is explained and the women are reminded of this at the birth. Less separation from mother ensures our compliance with current requirement for facilitating skin-to skin contact at birth.

Future Action

- remind junior staff at induction.
- DCC has to be documented.
- themselves.
- the findings of this audit.

References

1. Kroll, L Twohey, L Daubeney, PE *et al* Risk factors at delivery and the need for skilled resuscitation. Eur J Obstet & Gynecol and Reprod Biol 1994; **44**: 175-7.



Consultant obstetricians and senior midwives will continue to

Duration of DCC is now included in neonatal documentation which will facilitate further audit. The reason for NOT doing

Senior nurses and midwives attending delivery will continue to advocate DCC and the notion that allowing for normal physiological transition most babies will resuscitate

A more formal project will add validity and transferability to